1	SILVER STATE HEALTH INSURANCE EXCHANGE
2	BOARD MEETING
3	THURSDAY, JUNE 11, 2015, 1:30 P.M.
4	
5	-000-
6	
7	MS. JOHNSTONE: All right. This is Leslie
8	Johnstone, and I will call the Silver State Health
9	Insurance Exchange Board meeting to order.
10	And first we'd like to welcome new Board member
11	Angie Wilson.
12	Ms. Wilson, if you could give us a little bit
13	of information about yourself and introduce yourself to
14	the group, that would be appreciated.
15	MS. WILSON: Sure. My name is Angie Wilson. I
16	am really excited to be the newest, one of the new Board
17	members to the Silver State Health Insurance Exchange.
18	I have about 18 years of health administration
19	background, primarily within the tribal health care
20	arena. I currently am the director of the Reno-Sparks
21	Tribal Health Center. We're the largest tribal health
22	center in the state of Nevada.
23	I also sit on the Nevada Department of Health
2 4	and Human Services Medical Care Advisory Committee. And
٦.	T git on the national CMC Tribal Taghnigal Advisory

- 1 Group. I represent all the tribes in Arizona, Utah and
- 2 Nevada at the table at that level.
- 3 I'm really excited to be present today. So,
- 4 | thank you very much.
- 5 MS. JOHNSTONE: Thank you very much. Welcome.
- DR. JAMESON: Welcome.
- 7 MS. JOHNSTONE: And Mr. Gilbert, do you have a
- 8 new staff member or so --
- 9 MR. GILBERT: Actually, I --
- 10 MS. JOHNSTONE: -- that you --
- MR. GILBERT: Actually, I have several new
- 12 | staff members. And I was going to introduce them as I
- 13 began my Executive Director report, if that would suit
- 14 you.
- MS. JOHNSTONE: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 We're going to ask if everyone in Carson could
- 17 | speak a little bit closer into your mics. Your voices,
- 18 | we can hear you, but it's just very soft.
- 19 With that, Mr. Gilbert, can you call the roll?
- 20 MR. GILBERT: Yes, thank you.
- 21 Chair Johnstone?
- MS. JOHNSTONE: Here.
- 23 MR. GILBERT: Vice Chair Kerr?
- MS. KERR: Here.
- MR. GILBERT: Ms. Lewis?

1 MS. LEWIS: Here. MR. GILBERT: Dr. Jameson? 2 DR. JAMESON: Here on the phone and calling in. 3 MR. GILBERT: Ms. Wilson? 4 MS. WILSON: Present. 5 MR. GILBERT: Ms. Aiello for Mr. Whitley? 6 7 MS. AIELLO: Present. MR. GILBERT: Commissioner Kipper is not here. 8 And Mr. Wells? 9 MR. WELLS: Here. 10 MR. GILBERT: Thank you. 11 The roll has been called, and we do have a 12 quorum. 13 14 MS. JOHNSTONE: Thank you. At this point, I'll open it up to public 15 16 comment. Do we have any public comment in Henderson? 17 Please come forward. 18 MR. CILA: For the record, Lou Cila, insurance 19 20 broker here in Nevada. 21 It's regarding potential retention problems for people that are losing their APTC because they did not 2.2 comply with the requests to send in the information, 23 mostly proof of income. 24 Just briefly, when you do an application, I 25

- 1 | have 90 days to send it in. As a broker, I try to give
- 2 them the information, make sure they stay on top of it,
- 3 keep your eye out for the mail. But in many cases, that
- 4 does not work.
- 5 Then, all of a sudden, they will get a monthly
- 6 billing that's instead of maybe being \$120, it's \$540,
- 7 because the APTC is gone.
- 8 We then -- then, they, some of them, will call
- 9 me. Some of them will give me what's needed. I'll send
- 10 | it in. But depending on the time of the month, I can, I
- 11 can go into their file, do it, do a change of life
- 12 | event, get it back, get the APTC back, but it won't be
- 13 effective until, let's say, right now, today, it'll be
- 14 July 1st. So there's a month or two where they're faced
- 15 | with \$500 or \$400-plus premiums, which they're not in a
- 16 position to pay.
- So just as a suggestion, and I don't know that
- 18 | the Board has any input into the Federal Exchange
- 19 directly, but if this were any other kind of
- 20 | insurance -- homeowner's, life insurance, car
- 21 | insurance -- and I was the broker, when an event like
- 22 | that or a change into a policy like that is occurring, I
- 23 | would be notified in advance so I could stay on top of
- 24 | it and pursue it and, you know, a percentage of the time
- 25 | get it corrected.

The other small issue is a small percentage of 1 them, where they say they didn't get the information, I actually send that in myself, twice, through the --3 through the person's portal file, where you click a 4 button and you upload it and send it in, and two other occasions where I address an envelope, make copies of what they were sending in, they put it in the mail, and the Exchange said they didn't get it.

Now, I understand it's not a negative, it's so many people are enrolled. It's a phenomenal amount of paperwork to handle. So you could understand some of it gets lost. The problem is you have no way to prove it. So when you file the appeal, all you can do is write down "I sent it on March 3rd," you know, "by U.S. mail." But there's no real way to prove it.

So it's just, you know, that's the picture. But it will affect -- I'll know better myself on my next commission statement, but I've already seen it being reflected slightly, because not everybody holds. people just say, "What are they, crazy?" and, whoosh, just on payment.

So just passing along something that I hope you guys could look at and maybe for the next time around have some changes made.

Thank you.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

1 MS. JOHNSTONE: Thank you. In any other comments in Henderson? 2 All right. How about Carson City? 3 MR. GILBERT: We do have public comment in 4 Carson City. 5 MR. LOCKE: Good afternoon. Oh, I got to push 6 this? 7 Okay. Good afternoon. Can you hear me? 8 Okay. I'm a loud speaker, so tell me if I'm 9 talking too loud. 10 Very similar situation to what we just heard. 11 I'm also a broker. My name's Matthew Locke, in northern 12 13 Nevada. 14 And, basically, the ongoing issue is statewide, I'm assuming nationwide, with the required document 15 16 portal. I have a specific circumstance where outlined 17 the timeline of a client of mine who today contacted me 1.8 about being overcharged about \$2,000 for one month, and 19 20 it was debited to their account. 21 In normal circumstances, if that was a warranted charge, you know, I would just say pay the 2.2 But when we submit our required documents through bill. 23 both portals, the online portal, then the one that you 24 mail in via USPS mail, there's no accountability, 2.5

there's no checks and balancing for this, and there's no
way of verifying if we've even submitted it and they
have actually got a hold on it and they're confirming

the documentation.

1.8

2.1

2.2

2.5

So what I have been doing for my clients is I've been uploading them online and mailing them and keeping all the documentation. And even when the APTC is dropped from the account, and I, you know, call in, frantically trying to figure out if, you know, my proof can somehow retroactively correct the error, there's no way that these representatives or even supervisors can step in and fix the mistake.

The one that I'm dealing with today, really unique one where we submitted the document on the initial application date of December 15th, the date that the eligibility notice was generated. We mailed it via USPS first-class mail. I'm assuming it made it there; it's the right address. And we called in later, and, basically, we were told they never received it. Okay. That's fine. We submitted it again via online portal, and we confirmed that it was uploaded. They confirmed that they were going to get around to it at some point and, basically, prove the income.

What transpired was, basically, a month after the deadline of the proof of income documents, which was

- 1 | March 5th, the health insurance marketplace, basically,
- 2 came back and sent a notice out one month after the
- 3 | deadline stating that we didn't send anything. And one
- 4 | and a half months after the deadline the APTC was
- 5 terminated.
- I brought it up to multiple supervisors today.
- 7 | I even got hung up on, which is pretty standard for me,
- 8 apparently; I get that a lot.
- 9 But it's very frustrating for us brokers to,
- 10 you know, help our clients do the right thing, provide
- 11 | all the documentation to maintain a subsidized policy,
- 12 and then, at the end of the day, basically, you know,
- 13 | the clients are the victims here, even though we do our
- 14 | due diligence to prove what's needed.
- 15 So what I'm asking is, from all of you is some
- 16 assistance for, you know, all of us statewide who are
- 17 | affected by this to, you know, contact CMS or the FFM
- 18 and resolve these procedural issues. It's just
- 19 paperwork that needs to be filed. And I'd love to see
- 20 some resolution.
- 21 And I have all the documents. I've filed
- 22 multiple appeals on this, and I actually have an appeal
- 23 that is outstanding from February that has yet to be
- 24 processed by the FFM. So.
- Thank you for your time and listening to the

1 concerns I have today. MS. JOHNSTONE: Thank you. 2 MR. GILBERT: That would be all of the public 3 comment that is here in Carson City. 4 MS. JOHNSTONE: All right. Thank you. 5 We'll move on to item number III, which is 6 approval of the minutes from the April 15th Board 7 meeting. 8 Do any of the Board members have corrections or 9 adjustments to those minutes? 10 If not, can we get a motion to approve? 11 MS. LEWIS: Move for approval of the minutes. 12 DR. JAMESON: I'm Dr. Jameson. 13 MS. JOHNSTONE: All right. Then, Ms. Lewis 14 Can we get a second? 15 moved. Dr. Jameson, would you be willing to do a 16 second? 17 Yes. Dr. Jameson here, seconding 18 DR. JAMESON: that motion to approve the minutes. 19 20 MS. JOHNSTONE: Thank you. All those in favor, say "aye." 2.1 (Board members said "aye.") 2.2 MS. JOHNSTONE: I think, that was unanimous. 23 24 Any nos? All right. 25 Thank you.

1 Mr. Gilbert, Executive Director's report. MR. GILBERT: Thank you, Madam Chair. 2 Before I do get into my report, there are a 3 couple of things that I would like to touch on. 4 First, as you indicated earlier today, we do 5 have some new employees, which I would like to 6 introduce. Or whom I would like to introduce, I guess, 7 is the appropriate way to put it. 8 The first would be Janel Davis. Janel is over 9 She is our new Communications Officer and has 10 taken Tyler's place. 11 Nik Proper, who is also at the table up here, 12 is our Plan Management Coordinator and is the individual 13 who is working directly upon plan certification. 14 And then Ian knight, who is sitting in the back 15 of the room and whom I blame for all things technical, 16 serves as our Information Analyst. And he joined us 17 fairly recently as well. 1.8 So we do have three new employees. 19 The second thing that I would like to touch on 20 21 before I actually begin my report has to do with public comment that we had today. Normally, I don't talk about 2.2 public comment. But I think it's important that we 23 communicate well with respect to indicating that we are 24

knowledgeable about this particular issue. And you're

2.5

1 right, it's nationwide. It is not unique to Nevada or 2 any other particular state.

2.2

2.5

We have had a number of discussions with CMS and CCIIO. And we are working closely with them, attempting to develop a process that will allow us to assist our brokers and agents, as well as Nevada's consumers, when they run into these situations.

I don't know whether they have the ability, utilizing their system, to notify the broker or agent on an account, as Mr. Cila had suggested. We will certainly be more than happy to ask that question and see if we can get that information for you. And if they do have that information or that capability, we're more than happy to champion their cause and go forward and say this is, this is something you should build into the process.

With respect to problem cases that brokers or agents may have, we would encourage you to contact us here at the Exchange. I have staff members who routinely communicate with our state officer, so things don't go into a hole. And we bring to our state officer's attention the issues that are -- that are sort of accumulating or becoming problematic. And we go to them specifically to escalate these types of problems. And we are more than happy to do that for you if you

1 | will work with us.

1.8

2.1

2.2

With respect to issues with regards to communications, going into a black hole with respect to the federal folks, send a copy to us. Bring it to us. We will be happy, more than happy to champion your causes. It's not an issue. It's not a problem. And it's something we are, in fact, working on even today.

With that, I will go into my report as prepared.

As I indicate in my commentary, we're still pretty busy, notwithstanding the end of the enrollment period, and we're working on an awful lot of different projects. We'll go into detail on many of them.

But just in terms of an overview, we have received updated open enrollment numbers for the 2015 plan year, Cari's report that over 60,000 Nevadans that applied for and enrolled in health plans have made payment and have effectuated their insurance coverage.

Nearly 90 percent of those consumers have received advance premium tax credits to help offset premium costs.

And the average credit in Nevada reduced premiums by two-thirds. So that worked out really well, from \$361 per month to \$119 per month.

As everybody knows, we'll be discussing

sustainability in some detail later in the meeting. But it's important that we understand that we continue to have additional conversations, formally and informally, with CMS and CCIIO in preparation for the upcoming State Exchange Group's two-day Workshop for Building Toward State-Based Marketplace Financial Sustainability. And that may be the longest name of any conference I've ever

considered attending.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

But that will be in McLean, Virginia, on

July 30th and 31st, specifically to tackle the issue of

sustainability for state-based marketplaces, supported

state-based marketplaces, like us and Oregon and

New Mexico, as well as those that are standalone.

We are also engaged in ongoing conversations about the levels of respective authority with CMS and CCIIO. As everybody knows, the Affordable Care Act never contemplated a supported state-based marketplace. Until we existed, there wasn't such an animal. And so there are no rules. There are no regulations. And it's very much a process of learning who does what and who does what best.

So we're engaged in those conversations. We've traded documents with CMS and CCIIO and have given them our suggestions as to how this should work. They're getting back to us. And it is in process.

We are also discussing how to use our Consumer Assistance Center to access the federal system to be able to better answer caller and consumer inquiries, and authorizing the Exchange to assist consumers having issues with document review. Which is specifically what you were talking about.

1.8

2.2

2.5

On the legislative front, very quickly, and I'll cover this in more detail shortly, A.B. 86 was passed by the legislature and signed by the Governor.

As of July 1st, 2015, agents, brokers and other persons affiliated with the health insurance industry will no longer be disqualified from serving on the Board.

And, additionally, as of the effective date of the act, each Board member is entitled to a salary of \$80 per day, or for each day or portion of a day spent on the business of the Board. And that's in addition to the per diem and travel expenses, which have historically been made available.

We continue to await guidance from the Internal Revenue Service on the issues of required retention and purging of federal tax information and problems posed by the architecture of the Xerox system. We've been working on that and requested guidance for probably about two months now. And it's been pushed out and pushed out.

My expectation is we will receive the data 1 before guidance is actually received. But, I guess, 2 we'll see how that works out. We had hoped to be able 3 to report that it was resolved. But there's going to be 4 additional dialogue between the Exchange and various 5 federal agencies as we move forward. 6 7 And, additionally, and perhaps finally, in preparation for the next open enrollment period, we've 8

And, additionally, and perhaps finally, in preparation for the next open enrollment period, we've completed negotiations with our navigator groups. We appeared before the Board of Examiners to gain approval of the contract with our new marketing firm, Penna Powers, who will be here to speak with the Board in July and share their plans for the 2016 plan year open enrollment campaign.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

21

2.2

23

24

25

That would be the sum and substance of my remarks. And I more than happy to answer any questions which you may have.

MS. JOHNSTONE: Thank you very much.

Any questions for Mr. Gilbert from the Board members?

Hearing none, let's go on to item number V, which is legislative update.

MR. GILBERT: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'll be dealing with this as well.

As you know from our prior meetings, there were

about five different bills that were introduced that
would affect the Silver State Health Insurance Exchange.

I've already spoken of A.B. 86 having passed and the effects of that.

2.2

2.5

Senate Bill 137, which was introduced and would have prohibited the purchase or sale of qualified health plans with embedded pediatric dental plans on the Exchange, the portion of that bill which applied to us was deleted in committee by amendment. So although SB 137 ended up passing, it has nothing to do with our operations.

Assembly Bill 368 and Senate Joint Resolution

14 both were intended to bring the operations of the

Exchange to a close. Neither of those came out of

committee. And there, obviously, was no further action.

The last piece of legislation which would have impacted us was Assembly Bill 355. And it dealt specifically with our rulemaking authority. As you know from our prior meetings, I had sent a letter to both the bill sponsor and the members of the Government Affairs Committee with respect to issues that, I believe, might affect the Exchange. That bill ultimately was referred to the is Assembly Committee on Ways and Means and was not considered by the committee prior to the end of the legislative session.

- So, aside from A.B. 86, there was no
- 2 | legislation which impacted us in any way.
- MS. JOHNSTONE: Okay. Thank you.
- 4 | Congratulations on getting through your first Nevada
- 5 legislative session.
- 6 MR. GILBERT: With few bruises.
- 7 MS. JOHNSTONE: Okay. Any questions from the
- 8 | Board members?
- 9 DR. JAMESON: No question.
- 10 MS. JOHNSTONE: All right.
- 11 DR. JAMESON: Florence Jameson, for the record.
- 12 Just a comment.
- Bruce, you were really great on being very
- 14 proactive. Prior to seeing us, the first time these
- 15 issues came up, already had been writing great letters,
- 16 prior to even the Board meeting. You were so on top of
- 17 | it. And, of course, with our short legislative session,
- 18 | that's so critical. So, well done.
- MR. GILBERT: Thank you very much.
- 20 MS. JOHNSTONE: All right. Let's move on to
- 21 | item number VI, which is Exchange sustainability
- 22 consideration.
- MR. GILBERT: Thank you, Madam Chair.
- 24 As we all know from prior discussions, really
- 25 | the Exchange sustainability considerations center around

1 | the costs intended to continue the access to the federal

2 application and enrollment technology, known as

3 healthcare.gov, as a supported state-based marketplace.

4 | We have been able to contain our costs very, very well.

5 | In fact, we have the smallest full-time staff of any

6 Exchange in the country. I don't know if you saw, but

Hawaii, which is moving to the SSBM model, currently has

in excess of 30 full-time employees and 29 temporary

9 employees.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

So we've been, we've been very successful in terms of creating a structure that would allow for continued sustainability.

There have been preliminary discussions between Exchange staff and CMS and CCIIO with respect to the cost of maintaining access to the Federal Exchange technology.

Back in April, some of the staff members here traveled and met with CMS leadership, as did the Board's vice-chairman. And we sat and spoke with Kevin Counihan and truly leadership from CMS and CCIIO, to begin, I think, the conversation and see where it might lead.

We have provided CMS and CCIIO with a copy of our legislatively approved budget. And we have reviewed with them in some detail the limitations on our revenue sources. Unlike New Mexico, which has a marketwide

assessment, that is not something that is being
considered here. Unlike Colorado, we're not in a

position to charge more than the three and a half

percent of premium which is charged by the federal,

Federally Facilitated Marketplace. We tend to lose our

6 value if we do something like that.

1.8

2.2

2.5

We have requested, but no guidance has yet been issued or provided with regard to their cost methodology or any determination as to how they may figure out what they believe would be a fair charge for access to or leasing of their system.

And we're sort of at a standstill right now, until the sustainability conference in McLean in late July. And the reason that I say that is we have initiated numerous calls with our friends at CMS and CCIIO. And while initially there was some indication that we might be able to do -- to have full and frank discussions, I think they're called in diplomatic circles, prior to actually meeting in July, it does not now appear that that's, that that's possible.

We received word from our state officer this week that their leadership simply cannot free themself up at this point to be able to take part in that sort of discussion. So it appears that we're sort of stymied until late July.

You know, the message, I think, with regard to 1 sustainability, that I would offer the Board, is that 2 everyone, whether it's staff, whether it's the Board, 3 whether it's CMS and CCIIO, is keenly aware of the 4 importance of assuring that the Exchange remains 5 sustainable. We are maintaining a dialogue, a constant 6 7 and consistent dialogue. And we should certainly have a better understanding and something more concrete by the time we meet again in August. But I don't know that I 9 will have anything prior to that point in time. 10 Mr. Haycock, is there anything that you would 11 wish to add? 12 13 MR. HAYCOCK: No. MR. GILBERT: I think that that -- without 14 going through the report as it stands, I believe, that 15 that's where we are. 16 I'm more than happy to answer any questions 17 that you might have. 1.8 MS. JOHNSTONE: Thank you. 19 20 Mr. Gilbert, maybe for the benefit of our new Board member and even members of the public, can you 2.1 remind us, the basic funding sources, calendar year '14 2.2 versus 2015, versus 2016. And what we're talking about 23

were the added costs, potential costs from

24

2.5

healthcare.gov.

1 MR. GILBERT: Thank you, Madam Chair. And, 2 yes, I'll be happy to do that.

2.2

2.5

Prior to January 1st of 2015, we had access to federal grant monies, 1311 grants, that offset the bulk of our expenses with respect to building what ultimately was unsuccessful technology and anything that had to do with the design, development and implementation of an Exchange structure, if you will. So we were operating off, essentially, federal monies at that point in time.

That ended as of January 1st, 2015. There are no additional federal dollars which are available to offset the maintenance and operation of an Exchange.

And that's not true simply here, but for all states.

The sole revenue source which we do have available to us is the fee that we set annually. And that was set by the Board in February, I think, at 3 percent of premium. And that's paid by the carriers who offer plans on the Exchange, and only with respect to those plans that are offered on the Exchange.

That can be contrasted to the situation that you would find in New Mexico where all health plans on and off the Exchange contribute toward the cost of the Exchange, or in the District of Columbia where it's not only all health plans on and off the Exchange, but basically every line of insurance is tapped to some

- 1 degree to offset the cost of operating their Exchange.
- 2 But it's really kind of interesting if you sit
- 3 down and you talk to the various state Exchanges.
- 4 | Maryland, I think their budget's a hundred million
- 5 dollars. And if you talk to D.C., they're in the 20s
- 6 and 30s of millions of dollars. Colorado, much the
- 7 same.
- 8 Our -- as we know here, our budget is
- 9 | \$6.2 million. And that's -- that's everything, all in.
- 10 | So we're in a very different position than some of the
- 11 | states that would appear to be similar to us,
- 12 | specifically New Mexico.
- But I was speaking with Oregon earlier this
- 14 | week, and they find themselves much in a situation as we
- 15 do, where their revenue options are limited.
- 16 | Notwithstanding the fact that they have a hundred
- 17 | thousand people, the truth is, their revenue options are
- 18 | limited, and they struggle with the same sustainability
- 19 issues that we do.
- 20 MS. JOHNSTONE: And so the fundamental
- 21 | difference between calendar year '15 and '16 is that in
- 22 | '16 we potentially face an added expense from
- 23 healthcare.gov?
- MR. GILBERT: That would actually be 2017. We
- 25 | have one more year, essentially, the 2016 plan year,

where we are not required to contribute to the cost of 1 the technology. So we have a year. 2 However --3 MS. JOHNSTONE: Right. 4 MR. GILBERT: -- they're attempting to 5 determine what we would pay for access or a leasing fee 6 7 for the 2017 plan year. And that open enrollment, of course, begins in the fall of 2016. 8 MS. JOHNSTONE: All right. That helps. Thank 9 10 you. Any questions from the Board members? 11 MS. WILSON: Yes. 12 MS. JOHNSTONE: Comments? 13 MS. WILSON: This is Angie Wilson, for the 14 15 record. Have they given any indication at all what that 16 expense would look like? 17 MR. GILBERT: Bruce Gilbert, for the record. 1.8 They have given us nothing formal that has said 19 20 "Here's where we think we are." There have been some, 2.1 some informal discussions where they've talked about how they've tried to determine what the costs would be only 2.2 for the call center and their technology. But they 23

haven't given us anything that, I don't believe that we

24

2.5

can count on.

UNIDENTIFIED: Correct.

2.2

2.5

MS. WILSON: Do you know if they're -- if they indicate to do that at the upcoming meeting in July?

MR. GILBERT: My expectation is that at the meeting in July -- well, let me take that back. What I'm hoping is, at the meeting in July, we have the opportunity to speak back and forth rather than simply have a predetermined pricing methodology imposed.

You know, one of the things that we've talked to our partners at CMS and CCIIO about, and we've talked with other states about, including Delaware and Pennsylvania, which recently filed blueprints, basically to follow our model, where they rely on federal technology but, in fact, manage their own marketplace.

Every state is different, and it's different because of the number of people potentially in the marketplace to help offset the cost and, also, the revenue sources that are available.

You know, Damon was teasing me earlier today, but he said something that really reflects on this discussion, which is, my salary is prorated across about 60,000 people. Kevin Counihan's salary is prorated across about six million people. And so it becomes, it becomes a very different ball game when you have those large numbers, and it's easier to, it's easier to make

1 | the numbers work.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

You know, we are in a difficult situation. 2 We're a smaller state with a smaller population. 3 we're not, we are not and we should not be funded by 4 general revenue dollars. And we are not and we should 5 not necessarily be funded by marketwide assessment. 6 7 need to be able to sustain ourselves and not rely on others. And in order for us to do that, our technology 8 costs have to be appropriate given our size. 9

MS. WILSON: Just a comment. Angie Wilson, for the record.

You know, and I know these kind of two mix in my world. At our most recent national Centers of Medicaid and Medicare Tribal Technical Advisory Group, the 12 representatives that represent the nation for Indian health care, we did highly recommend to Kevin Counihan that there needs to be dialogue that is spanned from the state to CMS both with CCIIO. I mean there has took a dialogue. It can't be a one, one-directional conversation.

We've got significant issues that impact on the tribal side. But even on this side, for sustainability, that's really key.

The other issue is, we do a lot of advocacy to CMS, both on the regional and the national level. And

- 1 | Melissa Stafford Jones, the new director of the
- 2 Region IX office, will be actually coming out to Nevada.
- 3 | I believe that she'll be coming out to the state. She's
- 4 | coming to my clinic specifically to meet with me for
- 5 part of the day that -- one of the days that she'll be
- 6 here.
- 7 Do you know if she's -- if there's any time on
- 8 the schedule that the state Exchange will be meeting
- 9 | with Ms. Jones?
- 10 MR. GILBERT: There's nothing scheduled, but I
- 11 | could tell you there's endless time on the schedule when
- 12 | she is here. We would be more than happy to meet with
- 13 her, share our concerns and continue to discuss ways in
- 14 which we think that we can work best with our federal
- 15 | partners.
- 16 MS. JOHNSTONE: All right. Thank you all.
- Let's take up the next item, which is the
- 18 | quarterly budget update.
- MS. COX: Good afternoon. Athena Cox, for the
- 20 record, and I'm going to be presenting the quarterly
- 21 | budget projections report.
- So, starting on page two of the report, there's
- 23 a snapshot of our budget expended through May 31st,
- 24 2015. The Exchange has expended and projected
- 25 approximately 80 percent of the available budget. The

Exchange is on track for budgetary purposes. 1 On page three of the report, there's a summary 2 of our updated 2016-2017 budget projections. 3 Exchange presented at the first budget hearing on 4 February 11th, and it was partially approved. 5 The Exchange budget was heard again on May 2nd. It was 6 7 presented and approved without any questions. I'll be happy to answer any questions you might 8 have. 9 MS. JOHNSTONE: Any questions from the Board 10 members? 11 MS. WILSON: Angie Wilson, for the record. 12 Sorry. Not a question, but a comment. 13 maybe I should have said this earlier. But, you know, I 14 had the opportunity to come down and meet with 15 Mr. Gilbert and the staff prior to being here today. 16 I just want to say how incredibly impressive 17 that you've been able to maintain the state's Exchange 18 with such a minimal budget and staying on track with --19 20 you know, just lean and mean. I just don't know. 21 thank you, and I was surprised, coming down to meet with you, just what your budget looked like. And I just want 2.2 to say how impressed I was that you are all able to do 23 that, and you work really hard to sustain the program. 24

25

We have noticed significant changes, you know,

- 1 from the first rollout to where we are today. And I
- 2 | just want to say, you know, I think you've done a great
- 3 | job in doing that, you and the entire staff, from what
- 4 I've been able to see.
- 5 MR. GILBERT: Thank you. Bruce Gilbert, for
- 6 the record.
- 7 I really appreciate that very much. I have a
- 8 great staff, and they do work really hard, with a lot of
- 9 strictures. But we do what we have to do, just like
- 10 everybody else. And certainly appreciate the kind
- 11 words. Thank you.
- 12 MS. JOHNSTONE: Any other comments from the
- 13 Board?
- 14 All right. Thank you, Ms. Cox.
- Let's move on to item number VIII, which is the
- 16 update on navigator grant awards.
- 17 MR. HAYCOCK: For the record, this is Damon
- 18 | Haycock from the Exchange. I'll be presenting this
- 19 report.
- 20 A little bit of background. Of course, we had
- 21 | applications due in for the next round of navigator
- 22 awards on February 25th. And of those 11 entities who
- 23 had submitted applications, one of them withdrew, and
- 24 another one was not qualified. And, therefore, there
- 25 | remained nine remaining entities, which we successfully

1 negotiated those awards with all of them.

1.8

2.2

2.5

All agreements have been signed, or were signed for a May 1st start date. So we had a seamless transition from the last round of navigator entities to this now new round of navigator entities.

There's a breakout on page two that clearly shows the presence of these navigator entities in both southern and northern Nevada. All nine entities have representation in southern Nevada, and three of them here in northern Nevada, to ensure that we continue to appropriately allocate the amount of navigators around the mix of population we have here in Nevada, that 75/25 percent split.

As you can see, we've been able to accomplish that in open enrollment and almost in non-open enrollment. We're going to have a total of 29 navigators available between now and November 1st, and once November 1st hits, 55, which is the same amount of navigators we had in the last open enrollment cycle.

At this point, I'm going to turn this over to

Rosa Alejandre. She is in our -- a staff member down in

Henderson who will be managing this program. Rosa

initially came to us from a navigator, a navigator

entity. So she has very intimate knowledge of how this

process works, what works and what doesn't work. And

we're very excited to have her lead us in this instance. 1 So I'm going to turn this to Rosa for the 2 remainder of the report. 3 Thank you, Damon. MS. ALEJANDRE: 4 Rosa Alejandre, for the record. 5 The Exchange staff has reached out to each of 6 the awarded entities listing the steps required for the 7 Exchange enrollment facilitator's training and 8 certification. 9 A kickoff meeting occurred on May 15th to 10 introduce the new entities to the Exchange's process and 11 answer any questions. These meetings will occur monthly 12 13 and be supported by staff site visits throughout the 14 year. As the Exchange welcomes all the navigator 15 entities, it is important to note that eight out of the 16 nine are new to the Exchange. Staff will continue to 17 collaborate with the entities and tie in outreach with 18 the Exchange's marketing vendor. 19 20 Thank you. 21 MR. HAYCOCK: And, with that, we'll take any questions. 2.2 Any questions from the Board? MS. JOHNSTONE: 23 All right. Well, hopefully, with the 24 experience you gained over the life of the Exchange, 2.5

a bit of a challenge, but I'm sure you're up to it. 2 MS. LEWIS: I just have one question. Lavonne 3 Lewis, for the record. 4 As I look at the list of navigator entities 5 that you have in southern Nevada, I don't see that you 6 7 have any that would be considered marketing to the African-American community. And I'm just wondering, how do you plan for your navigators to reach that community, 9 or what is your availability for the African-American 10 community? 11 MS. ALEJANDRE: Rosa Alejandre, for the record. 12 I believe, Damon Haycock can respond to your 13 14 question more appropriately. MR. HAYCOCK: Yeah. So Damon Haycock, for the 15 record. 16 I don't believe it was the Exchange's intent to 17 not market or provide outreach to any specific Nevadan, 18 regardless of what population that Nevadan falls into. 19 20 And whereas there may not appear to be a direct 2.1 relationship between the African-American community and the Exchange's navigator entities, each of these 2.2 entities are dedicated to not only serving the original 23 populations that they brought to the table, but to 24 utilize their expertise out into the marketplace, into 2.5

you'll be able to transition a new organization.

1

That's

- neighborhoods and into those areas of Nevada to provide
 marketing outreach to African-Americans as well as every
 other race.
- 4 MS. LEWIS: Lavonne Lewis, for the record.
- Just looking at the group, I don't see any that
 would be even remotely located near an enclave of
 African-American, poor African-Americans anyway, that -you know, residences or where they would normally
- 10 So it's just a comment.

congregate.

9

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

- MR. HAYCOCK: No. Thank you for the comment,

 Ms. Lewis. And, for the record again, Damon Haycock.
 - Every entity that applied, we gave the opportunity to be successful, and with the two, the one that withdrew and the one that did not qualify. We would definitely entertain any, any entity that met the qualifications. We were not choosy. We did not play any favoritism.
 - If there is an entity that you know of that would like to participate, we'd be more than willing to look at that as well. But we opened it up to all entities and all groups.
 - And, hopefully, what may help this process is our new marketing vendor, as Mr. Gilbert mentioned earlier, will be coming on. They're going to share with

you next month the next steps that they have for the open enrollment. But the first step that they have been tasked to do is to develop a marketwide analysis of who is and who isn't applying for and enrolling in health insurance.

1.8

2.2

2.5

And those targeted pockets of folks, regardless of race, will determine where the bulk of our energies will be, to ensure that we have the appropriate representation and that we're able to allow any Nevadan who wants health insurance the opportunity to apply for and enroll in subsidized or even unsubsidized coverage.

MS. WILSON: Angie Wilson, for the record.

I do need to say that in regards to that and -and I understand why you would do that. However, I do,
I do feel like I need to say this, especially for the
American Indian, Alaska Native population that resides
here in the state.

There are specific reasons why those populations are not enrolling, not so much that there's exclusions, you know, different provisions for American Indians specific in the Affordable Care Act. We want to get our folks signed up on the state Exchange. But there are certain issues on why we are not doing that at this point, stemming around the contracting and/or in the addendum letter.

So when you do that study to see who is signing 1 up or where the concentrated effort is, on behalf of the 2 American Indian population in the state, I just want to 3 make sure that we're aware that you may not see that 4 representation, but to not forget that we do have a 5 significant population, especially in Clark County. And 6 7 a lot of the northern tribes are looking to enroll as well. Right. And Bruce Gilbert, for MR. GILBERT: 9 the record. 10 As you know -- and this is something that we 11 have discussed, you and I -- it's very important to the 12 13 Exchange that we encourage tribal participation. And I recognize and understand that there are limiters outside 14 of what goes on in this room. 15 But that being said, we are very interested, 16 and we are committed to assuring that the tribal 17 entities do have the opportunity to participate. 1.8 understand and recognize that we will do everything 19 20 within our power to try and make that happen. 21 MS. JOHNSTONE: All right. Sounds like we're ready to move on to the next item, which is number IX, 2.2

update on plan certification and management transition.

MR. PROPER: For the record, Nik Proper, Plan Management Coordinator for the Exchange. I will be

23

24

2.5

giving an update on the plan certification process for 2016.

2.2

2.5

During the 2014 and '15 plan years, many plan management and certification functions were carried out by the Division of Insurance. And at the January Board meeting, staff announced the certification duties would be transitioned to the Exchange, and the DOI agreed to assist the Exchange during this transition year.

On page two is the schedule.

In April, we had a joint webinar with the Division of Insurance for all carriers. And by May 15th, we successfully transferred all plans submitted to healthcare.gov. And we are currently in the first round of plan reviews. And at this time, the Exchange is on schedule to meet all requirements for plan year 2016.

For plan submissions, the Exchange received 142 separate health and dental plans that cover all service areas throughout Nevada in both individual and SHOP marketplaces.

And the Exchange's next steps include finishing up the current round of plan reviews while preparing for the second round of plan submissions to healthcare.gov. And during this time, until August, carriers will be viewing their plans and preview to see how their plans will be displayed on healthcare.gov.

1 I am now available for any questions. MS. JOHNSTONE: Any questions from the Board 2 members? 3 I have one request. Could you expand on what 4 is meant by reviewing plans, review of the plans. 5 MR. PROPER: Nik Proper, for the record. 6 7 Every plan that is submitted, I have to make sure it's in compliance with CMS requirements. And 8 every plan does not discriminate, and follows all of our 9 certification rules. 10 We have a certification checklist that we 11 And I reconcile every plan to their schedule of 12 follow. 13 benefits and evidence of coverage to make sure that matches. 14 MS. JOHNSTONE: And so, to be clear, it does 15 not involve any kind of rate review? 16 Nik Proper, for the record. 17 MR. PROPER: The Division of Insurance currently handles all 18 rate review. 19 20 MS. JOHNSTONE: Okay. And then this might be for Damon or Mr. Gilbert. 2.1 Looking back on the budget projection agenda 2.2 item, for the upcoming biennium there is some money set 23 aside for plan certification contracts. What is that 24 going to be used for? 2.5

MR. HAYCOCK: This is Damon Haycock, for the record.

2.2

Initially, we built that line item into the budget, way back in August of last year, anticipating that we would need to support the Division of Insurance's request to bring on staff to meet this requirement. We kept it in there not knowing what workload we would have here once we were able to successfully transition it to the Exchange.

As Mr. Proper mentioned, you know, he's worked hand in hand with the Division of Insurance in this transition year.

But if things continue to increase the way that we hope that they will, if we have more plans or more insurance carriers, or what have you, if it turns out that we need some assistance, some temporary workers or some seasonal workers to assist us through that plan certification process, we want to make sure that we have money available and we don't take it from some other critical area of our measly \$6.2 million budget.

MS. JOHNSTONE: Thank you. Is there work outside of this April to September schedule that's on page two?

MR. HAYCOCK: Damon Haycock, for the record.

As far as plan certification, it goes hand in

- 1 hand with the testing of plans, the end-to-end testing.
- 2 | I don't know if you remember last year, when we talked
- 3 about the transition. But the Exchange fully expects to
- 4 | work with each carrier.
- 5 Once those plans are loaded, and they look
- 6 good, and they want to see the way that they are -- or
- 7 | they want them displayed the way that they want to see
- 8 them, we will then start that process of doing pseudo or
- 9 | fake applications in their test environment, to assist
- 10 them through that process going all the way back into
- 11 effectuating an enrollment at each of the carriers. And
- 12 | that will go all the way up until the beginning of open
- 13 enrollment November 1st.
- 14 After that, the plan certification process and
- 15 | that testing process should potentially die down, unless
- 16 | we want to come back and revisit policies.
- 17 MS. JOHNSTONE: Thank you. And then one last
- 18 question. Did we add any staff to accommodate this?
- MR. HAYCOCK: We did not add additional staff
- 20 this year. I know we had a request in, originally back
- 21 | when we submitted the budget, and that did not pass
- 22 through the process.
- However, you know, kudos to Mr. Proper here.
- 24 | He's making it look like we won't need it.
- 25 So we're going to evaluate as the process

continues. And if we need to add staff, I'm sure

Mr. Gilbert will be announcing yet another wonderful

person that has been added to the Exchange.

2.2

2.5

MS. JOHNSTONE: All right. Thank you.

All right. That brings us to item number X, which is discussion and possible action regarding the fiscal and operational report.

MR. GILBERT: Thank you, Madam Chair. Bruce Gilbert, for the record.

As the Board is aware, there is a statute which requires the Board, on or before the 30th of June and the 31st of December of each year, to submit a written fiscal and operational report to the Governor and Legislature. And there's some language that says what it's to include.

We have prepared a proposed text which encapsulates the activities of the Exchange over the lasts six months. We believe it fairly reflects all of our activities and provides all the information required by the statute.

However, one of the reasons that it's here is to make sure that the Board is satisfied, and anything that you believe should be added or anything which should be changed, we could address prior to providing the information to the Governor and the Legislature by

- the required date of June 30th. Time is obviously of 1 the essence if changes are to be made. 2 But we believe that what's been written fairly 3 reflects what we've done and provides all the 4 information required. 5 MS. JOHNSTONE: Any comments or suggestions 6 from the Board members? 7 I have just a couple, Mr. --8 DR. JAMESON: Florence --9 MS. JOHNSTONE: Go ahead, Ms. Jameson. 10 DR. JAMESON: Just a question. Do you actually 11 give him -- I just don't know if I missed it, or we did, 12 13 but it lacked proof of numbers. I remember our numbers were, on the SHOP, you know, somewhat small, if you had 14 tracked back the numbers anywhere? 15 MR. GILBERT: What was the question? 16
- MR. HAYCOCK: Damon Haycock, for the record.
- 18 Ms. Jameson --
- DR. JAMESON: (Indistinct), do you have
- 20 (indistinct).
- MR. HAYCOCK: Ms. Jameson, if we -- if I heard
 you correctly -- this is Damon Haycock.
- 23 Are you asking why we do not include the
 24 enrollment numbers to date for our Small Business Health
 25 Options Program, or our SHOP program; did I hear that

```
1
   correctly?
            DR. JAMESON:
                           Yes.
 2
             MR. HAYCOCK:
                           Unfortunately, at this time, we
 3
   do have a very small amount. I believe, it is 272 lives
 4
   was the latest number that I've received from our one
 5
   SHOP insurance carrier to date.
 6
             And if that's something that you feel we need
 7
    to add, we have no problems with adding that line in
 8
    there.
9
             DR. JAMESON:
                           I think, that is a (indistinct)
10
   specific aspect of addressing this. Which it may well
11
    evolve into a much larger group. We don't really know
12
13
   yet. But then possibly. I just think it would be more
    comprehensive to have it in there, albeit small, because
14
    then it gives him a good idea of how we are growing.
15
             MR. HAYCOCK: We will, we will make that
16
   addition.
17
                           How that area is doing.
18
             DR. JAMESON:
             MR. HAYCOCK:
                           Yes, Ms. Jameson, we will make
19
20
    that addition and make sure that that's changed before
2.1
    the Board chair signs.
                             And, Mr. Haycock, I have a
2.2
             MS. JOHNSTONE:
    couple of suggestions.
23
             After looking at this report a few times over
24
```

the years, I think that we'd be well-served if you,

2.5

- 1 after the -- or right before the first section on the
- 2 | Exchange's transition, if you insert a highlight or an
- 3 executive summary. Because we have a nice story to
- 4 tell, and I think that it might be nice to highlight
- 5 those things for the readers that may not go through the
- 6 entire document.
- 7 So enrollment, the conversion to
- 8 healthcare.gov, the enrollment numbers, establishment of
- 9 the enrollment stores, that type of thing, not much
- 10 | detail, but just some of the key points of what you've
- 11 been able to accomplish in the last year.
- MR. HAYCOCK: Not a problem, Ms. Johnstone. We
- 13 | will add that in as well.
- MS. JOHNSTONE: And, then, I don't mean to be
- 15 | nitpicky, but a little bit of spell check on the first
- 16 | paragraph on page two; "enroll" is misspelled.
- Then, I agree with Ms. Jameson, Dr. Jameson
- 18 about -- for completeness, to include the SHOP
- 19 enrollment numbers, that first section on page two.
- 20 On the bottom of page two, why don't we just be
- 21 | specific that the Board meetings are held in Carson City
- 22 and Henderson rather than Las Vegas.
- 23 And then on page four, admittedly, I read this
- 24 | pretty quickly, but I think we should spend a little bit
- 25 of time explaining what you did to set up the enrollment

- 1 stores. Because that was a very impactful way of
- 2 helping the consumers, and I think it would be nice to
- 3 | cull that out, so to speak. And I don't know if
- 4 | pictures are allowed, but something consumer-related,
- 5 looking at the stores, so that the reader can get a
- 6 sense for what you've been able to accomplish.
- 7 And my only other comment is on page six and
- 8 | seven, just to include the FTE count for each of the
- 9 fiscal years reflecting.
- 10 And, I think, those are all my comments.
- 11 Any question or reaction to those being
- 12 included in the report?
- DR. JAMESON: I really like -- Florence
- 14 Jameson, for the record.
- 15 I really like the idea of adding a narrative.
- 16 | I think, that will make it -- I think, it may lead them
- 17 on to read the rest of the report.
- 18 And, Bruce, you do a beautiful job at narrative
- 19 and story-telling.
- 20 MR. GILBERT: Thank you so much. We'll try and
- 21 | make the beginning compelling, for sure.
- 22 MS. JOHNSTONE: All right. If there are no
- 23 other suggestions from the Board members, we'll
- 24 entertain a motion to approve that as to a motion
- 25 regarding the report.

```
1
             MS. LEWIS:
                         I move that we approve the report,
    to include the recommendations that have been made by
 2
    the chief.
 3
             MS. JOHNSTONE: Is there a second?
 4
                          Angie Wilson.
             MS. WILSON:
 5
             DR. JAMESON: (Indistinct.)
 6
                          I second the motion.
 7
             MS. WILSON:
             MS. JOHNSTONE: I'm sorry. Melissa?
 8
             MS. LEWIS: Ms. Wilson.
 9
             MS. JOHNSTONE: Ms. Wilson, I'm sorry.
10
                                                      I
   didn't hear what you said.
11
             MS. WILSON: I'm sorry. I said I would second
12
13
   Ms. Lewis's motion.
14
             MS. JOHNSTONE:
                             Oh.
                                  Thank you.
                             All right. We have a motion
15
             MS. JOHNSTONE:
   and a second. All those in favor, say "aye."
16
             (Board members said "aye.")
17
             MS. JOHNSTONE: Opposed?
18
                    Thank you.
             Okay.
19
20
             All right. Let's move on to the next agenda
2.1
    item, which is the advisory committee recommendations.
             MR. HAYCOCK: Damon Haycock, for the record.
2.2
    I'll be presenting this report.
23
             This is a continuation from the Advisory
24
2.5
   Committee Recommendations Requiring Review report that
```

- 1 began in April. We will attempt to bring these back
- 2 each month or each time the Board meets, to, basically,
- 3 finalize the housekeeping processes, that we need to, to
- 4 be in accordance with either current policy or
- 5 healthcare.gov constraints, or both.
- 6 Starting on page two, you know, I've outlined
- 7 | the committee recommendations for Plan Certification and
- 8 Management. That is the section we're going to tackle
- 9 today.
- 10 As you can see, there are 12 total
- 11 | recommendations that were originally approved by the
- 12 | Board, and we are recommending five of them be reviewed
- 13 | with certain changes.
- The first that we will review and change, or
- 15 make recommendation, is number five, which is the number
- 16 of QHPs offered by each carrier in a given tier.
- 17 That, the description that's on page four of
- 18 | seven, if you're following along, currently, you know,
- 19 each license carrier is allowed to offer no more than
- 20 | five qualified health plans in each metal tier, to
- 21 | include the catastrophic tier in each market, both
- 22 | individual and SHOP.
- 23 And just like we did on the SHOP policy in
- 24 April, we are recommending that we add the wording "in
- 25 each service area"; therefore, they can offer five plans

per tier per service area. And that will adhere to
current policy that we've been following through the
last few plan certification cycles.

2.2

2.5

Recommendation six is under Plan Certification

Policy Review. I think, the initial idea when the

Exchange was conceived, that we would be able to have an opportunity to review and change policies every six

months, or on a semi-annual basis.

What we are recommending is that we remove the term "formally" and replace it with "semi-annually" -- or with "annually" to align with the annual plan certification process that we've been following for the last three cycles.

There is not an opportunity to pull back all the plans and then resubmit them on a semi-annual basis. So any policy changes should most likely adhere to that yearly cycle. That's if, of course, we even have the opportunity to change a policy or if we must adhere to what healthcare.gov is currently providing.

Then the next one that we can skip down to is recommendation number eight on page five for network adequacy. And the original recommendation was what we include standards regarding telemedicine and essential community providers and that we adopt the originally adopted network adequacy standards.

What we are actually recommending is that we replace this entire recommendation and streamline it and make it very, very simple.

2.2

"The Division of Insurance, by Nevada statute, is Nevada's authority on network adequacy for health plans. The Exchange defers this function to the Division of Insurance."

They will already include essential community providers. They're already including telemedicine and, I believe, even for both medical and dental. And they are the resident authority per statute. And so us deferring this to them would simplify this process. And as changes and requirements come down, we can work with our partners at the DOI to ensure that our networks remain adequate for all health plans both on and off the Exchange.

On recommendation nine, Plan Certification -I'm not going to read each one of these subsections.
But there's just a little bit of housekeeping cleanup
that we should do.

On page six of seven, I've outlined in red, if you have a color copy -- if not, it's italicized -- of what the changes we would recommend to each of those sections.

And, basically, the process to certify plans,

on the health side as well as standalone dental plans,
is rather similar. And to split those two out into two
different recommendations didn't seem efficient.

2.2

2.5

So we are recommending that in the first section, section A, that any health plan" -- and then we're going to add "or dental plan" -- that meets the requirements and standards of the Exchange, the DOI and the Affordable Care Act, be certified as either a qualified health plan or a standalone dental plan.

The next section, B, we are recommending the removal of it. It originally requested that the Division of Insurance, on the behalf of the Exchange, certify or recertify health plans as QHPs to be offered on an annual basis.

As you well know, back in January, the Division of Insurance has returned that function and that authority back to the Exchange. And that's why we're going through this transition year right now. And so they are no longer being deferred to, by their own request.

And then, finally, down in section E, we just wanted to add "standalone dental plan" as a -- to complete the circle and ensure that we have those, those plans recognized as part of this entire plan certification process, that ultimate responsibility for

certification lies with us, and that we can change certification policies, and that they will also apply to standalone dental plans.

2.2

Moving on to recommendation number 11, the certification of standalone dental and vision plans, first of all, we don't have standalone vision plans. That was never fully approved by the Legislature or the -- or CMS would not allow us to offer ancillary products, and that anything that was written in this recommendation, by making those small changes in recommendation number nine would clean this up and make it streamlined and efficient.

So we recommend just eliminating this recommendation if you, if the Board will approve the consolidation of standalone dental plans and qualified health plans in recommendation nine that we just went over above.

Those are the only housekeeping items that we would recommend that the Board adopt as policy changes. I'm more than willing to answer any specific questions over any of them or why we recommended them or, if not, accept a motion to approve them as recommended.

MS. JOHNSTONE: Thank you, Mr. Haycock.

Are there any questions from the Board members?

MS. WILSON: Angie Wilson, for the record.

So all of the advisory committees, they agree 1 with the changes? I mean I see that those must be on 2 their recommendation here. 3 MR. HAYCOCK: Damon Haycock, for the record. 4 The initial paint by number approach in 5 bringing policies to the Exchange Board was the creation 6 7 of and then the development of the advisory committees. And those advisory committees then brought forth 8 recommendations to the Board. 9 Then, October 1 hit, 2013, right? We should 10 have the "I survived October" --11 MS. WILSON: Right. 12 MR. HAYCOCK: -- "2013" T-shirts. But then 13 everything kind of became chaotic. And because of those 14 situations and the fact that the Board, in their wisdom, 15 decided to come in and really grasp the Exchange during 16 the first part of 2014, they were meeting weekly, for a 17 point in time. And by time it led up to the May 20th 18 Board meeting, a decision needed to be made. 19 20 went in a different direction. 21 And why I'm giving you the long version, it's just so you and the public understand the history behind 2.2 why we have not had the opportunity to really reconvene 23 these advisory committees to push these policies 24

2.5

through.

Every policy that you see here that we are going to be recommending for change isn't because, you know, Damon likes it or thinks it's a good idea. are all because of what healthcare.gov will allow and what they won't allow, as well as what still makes sense now that we are off of our own original technology platform and we are onto another technology platform with healthcare.gov.

And so these are, honestly, housekeeping processes. We can bring the advisory committees back together. But the discussion would be very similar to what the Board will have today, which is do we agree with these housekeeping issues or not. But what can we truly change? There isn't a whole lot of flexibility, unfortunately.

2.2

MS. WILSON: Sure. Thank you. I appreciate the feedback on and the background on that.

MS. JOHNSTONE: And, Ms. Wilson, I would say that while the advisory committees served a very vital role in that first year, trying to establish all of these policies, that it's my preference as the chair that we bring all of these future items straight to the Board and not have the added lawyer of the advisory committees.

Our agenda is nothing like it was in the first

- 1 year. And so I think there's adequate time at the Board
- 2 level to address each item that staff brings to our
- 3 attention.
- 4 MS. WILSON: All right. Thank you.
- MS. JOHNSTONE: With that said, on number six,
- 6 Mr. Haycock, in the table on page three, just to be
- 7 | clear what the ultimate motion's going to be, the last
- 8 | sentence isn't repeated, where it says "suggest adding
- 9 | "and bring back to the committee if necessary." That
- 10 verbiage is not in the text of the report. So I didn't
- 11 know what your intent was.
- MR. HAYCOCK: My intent, Madam Chair, at the
- 13 moment I added that into this table, was to visibly
- 14 | illustrate a severe typo. So I have no suggestion of
- 15 adding "to bringing that back to the committee if
- 16 | necessary." So, good catch. And that is not part of
- 17 | the recommendation on purpose.
- 18 MS. JOHNSTONE: All right. So are we -- the
- 19 | intent that is written in the table on page two and
- 20 | seven is a good reference, but we'll remove that last
- 21 | sentence from number six?
- 22 MR. HAYCOCK: That is, that is correct. In
- 23 fact, if you look at the direct recommendation for
- 24 | number six on page four of seven, that the specific
- 25 | recommendation is that we recommend removing "formally"

```
and replacing "semi-annual" with "annual" to align with
1
   annual plan certification process.
 2
             And that's the recommendation in its entirety.
 3
             MS. JOHNSTONE:
                             Thank you. So when we make
 4
    the -- take any action, it's probably best served to use
 5
    the text that's on page four and five?
 6
            MR. HAYCOCK:
 7
                          That is correct.
                                              Thank you.
             MS. JOHNSTONE: Six and seven. Four through
 8
   seven.
9
             All right. With that, is there a motion to
10
    take action?
11
             MS. LEWIS: I move that we adopt the
12
   recommended changes as proposed by staff to the Plan
13
    Certification and Management recommendations.
14
                           This is Dr. Jameson.
             DR. JAMESON:
15
            MS. JOHNSTONE:
                             Thank you.
16
            DR. JAMESON: And I would second the motion.
17
                             Thank you, Dr. Jameson.
            MS. JOHNSTONE:
18
            All those in favor, say "aye."
19
20
             (Board members said "aye.")
2.1
            MS. JOHNSTONE: Opposed?
             All right.
2.2
                         Thank you.
             That brings us to our standing agenda item
23
    there on the update of the Xerox closeout item.
24
                           Thank you, Madam Chair.
             MR. HAYCOCK:
25
```

1 | Haycock again, for the record.

2.2

So, this one is a little bit smaller than the other ones as we are nearing the end of our closeout activities, at least from an operational standpoint.

You'll actually see at the end of this report that this will be the last operational update to the Board.

Data transition and retention. You know, we were initially looking to outsource our long-term data requirement needs. And we developed an RFP and received some responses. However, the responses were inadequate, took way too many exceptions to the security requirements that we knew were necessary.

And, secondly, we believe we found a streamlined, efficient and, most importantly, cost-effective way to keep it in-house. And so that is the process that we are going to be moving forward with. And we're currently procuring hardware and software to complete it.

As Mr. Gilbert mentioned, the IRS has cancelled all the meetings that we have either scheduled to discuss -- really, pushed off is probably a more appropriate statement -- to discuss what are the requirements for purging and transferring the federal tax information.

So without any additional guidance, we are

moving forward on the current schedule. Which all the data is scheduled to begin transferring over to the Exchange beginning in a couple days, on June 15th.

2.1

2.2

2.5

And so, so the Exchange, of course, in our preparations to receive this data, we've participated in a demonstration of the 1095A form correction application that was built by our vendor, Natoma. And that appears to be very simple, effective. And we believe we're going to have no issues being able to make those manual adjustments to 1095A forms when the situation warrants.

Additionally, which you don't have here in the report, yesterday we had a demo here with staff and Natoma on the research and reporting application, the ability for us to go in and pull down a 2014 Nevada Health Link enrollee and as much information as possible, to include their enrollment dates, termination dates, if they sent in any correspondence, if they -- if they make phone calls.

And what we haven't seen yet, because the data hasn't come over, is the quality and ease of those recorded phone calls.

But with that, we've been able to see all the other reporting suites. And it looks like it's going to be very, very helpful for our staff, and we'll be able to assist our Consumer Assistance Center and,

- 1 ultimately, Nevadans in at least finding out what
- 2 happened.
- Now, what happens after that is a different
- 4 story. But we'll be able to find out what happened.
- 5 And in partnership with the Division of Insurance we can
- 6 successfully work on consumer complaints and, hopefully,
- 7 bring some of these to a resolution.
- 8 As far as the --
- 9 DR. JAMESON: The -- oh.
- 10 MR. HAYCOCK: I'm sorry. Go ahead,
- 11 Dr. Jameson.
- DR. JAMESON: I'm sorry. I though you were
- 13 (indistinct) questions.
- When you pulled out the data, did you have any
- 15 | breakdown of the picture, probably because you were
- 16 | concerned about that?
- 17 MR. HAYCOCK: So at this point in time, Xerox
- 18 has sent over two sets of data, two snapshots, one at
- 19 | the end of March and one that occurred, I believe,
- 20 | sometime last month, in May. And they did not pull the
- 21 entirety of the data, but they took a snapshot. And
- 22 | for -- to make it simple, they basically copied and
- 23 pasted it onto a drive and mailed it over to our vendor
- 24 to do testing.
- And so, so we've had, you know, the typical

1 issues with trying to manipulate that data, but we 2 appear to be able to use what has been sent to date.

2.2

Now, as far as their system being taken apart, the issue that was brought up before, that Xerox had mentioned, was that if they have to remove and purge, literally eliminate the federal tax information from their system, because that, the information is tied intimately to all other information in their system, that it would render their system inoperable.

We have not asked them to purge that data, as of today. We are still waiting guidance from the IRS on how they would like us to do it.

MR. GILBERT: Litigation hold.

MR. HAYCOCK: Yeah. And, of course -- thank you, Mr. Gilbert -- that all information that Xerox has to date is currently under a litigation hold for the open court cases that are leveraged against them.

And so, so it's a little touchier than that.

But at this point, we have no, no specific schedule or benchmark date as to when that information will be purged from their system. But we intend to receive all of it. And then we will segregate the federal tax information in accordance with the IRS and use the other information appropriately and securely to help Nevadans.

Hopefully, that answers your question,

1 Dr. Jameson.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2.5

DR. JAMESON: Oh, thank you. Yes.

MR. HAYCOCK: A little bit on the call center closeout. This had more meaning last month, so I'm sorry we weren't able to have a meeting.

But April 15th, Xerox ceased operations of their call center, and we were able to seamlessly pick it right back up April 16th.

We work hand in hand with our partners at

Nevada Primary Care Association, who are doing a bang-up
job trying to handle issues that they didn't create, and
they have to try to fix these issues, and then they
don't have to a system to fix them. And so my hat's off
to our partners that are right on the other side of the
wall here in Carson City, who are doing an amazing job.
And we feel that this has been a very, very beneficial
relationship to date.

We have also taken over the Post Office boxes in southern Nevada. And so we're able to see what kind of stuff is coming in from consumers, and able to address it accordingly.

Case reconciliation and 1095A corrections. You know, we're still working with Xerox -- I know it's a broken record; you've heard this over and over -- on 2014 issues.

Xerox has gotten to the point where they have frozen their data. And they are finalizing their financial closeout of any of their accounts. We've had some difficulties back and forth with them trying to get information. And then, once we get information, there's nothing we can do because of their frozen data.

2.2

2.5

You know, we requested that they provide an opportunity or a resource or a mechanism for us to continue to help Nevadans between that April date. And then, once we finally get and can manipulate the data. And they plainly refuse.

So we are at this point now where we're anticipating the data to be transferred and when we've, unfortunately, had to tell Nevadans that we're going to have to pause for a little bit, but we will -- we have all of their information logged appropriately. We're going to be reaching back out to them. And once we get that data, we'll work with the Consumer Assistance Center and the Division of Insurance and solve as many of these problems as we can possibly.

Then, of course, the conclusion that this report will conclude the operational closeout.

There's only one task left for Xerox to do operationally with the Exchange. And that has nothing to do with it contractually. That's a whole nother

- 1 | issue that I don't think we're going to go into today.
- 2 But as far as operationally, they just need to send us
- 3 | the data.
- And when that data is sent over, we need to
- 5 receive it and validate it and make sure that we can
- 6 access. And we're going to go through a series of tests
- 7 to pull down information to ensure we can get what we
- 8 need to get from that data.
- And from then, moving forward, we have no
- 10 operational arrangement with Xerox.
- And so, so that one statement that we've
- 12 received and all data is good is something that will
- 13 | probably be brought back up in Mr. Gilbert's report in
- 14 the next month or so. That's the dream.
- And with that, I'll take any questions you may
- 16 have.
- 17 DR. JAMESON: Florence Jameson, for the record.
- 18 I was just wondering, when you took over the
- 19 postage, Post Office information, now that Bruce has
- 20 orchestrated this beautiful call center we now have, I
- 21 | would imagine that in doing it, quantifying, imagine
- 22 that the postal system has done, you know, I was
- 23 wondering, we have (indistinct) coming in; are we still
- 24 | getting in any new applications to speak of?
- 25 And compared with our call center, we need to

1 talk about how many calls we've gotten. What's the
2 volume (indistinct)?

center calls.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- MR. HAYCOCK: So. So, I heard two questions in there. I can answer the first, and then we're going to bring up Laura Rich, our project officer, who has oversight over the call center. She has a lot of the statistics that she can share with you on the call
 - As far as what types of stuff has come into our Post Office box, you know, we've received anything from payments to other issues that -- that consumers have tried to send in information for. I don't believe we're getting any applications.
 - But we are going to be shutting down the Post Office box and forwarding all of that mail up here to Carson City, so we can link our consumer system center with those, with that correspondence. And the fact that it's really dwindled down, we believe that that's the right thing to do, and it's the efficient thing to do.
 - With that, I'm going to let Laura Rich -- she's going to come up to our table here and talk a little bit about how the call center is working. Or the Consumer Assistance Center. Excuse me.
- MS. RICH: For the record, Laura Rich.
- We're getting about, probably about 150 calls

daily, average. Mondays and Tuesdays seem to be a lot higher than Wednesday, Thursday, Friday.

2.2

2.5

The Consumer Assistance Center is staffed with six staff. And they seem to be handling it pretty well.

We've got great hold times, great abandon rates. You know, they're, the calls that are coming in range anywhere from 1095 issues -- we had a lot of 1095A calls coming in there at the end of April through just recently. We're still getting a lot of recurrent requests, a lot of correction requests.

The reprints we're able to handle. On a weekly basis, we send out reprints.

The corrections, unfortunately, we're unable to make any corrections until we get that data from Xerox.

However, we're logging them. The Consumer Assistance

Center, the staff in there is logging, they're logging those calls. We have everything scheduled and ready to launch as soon as we get that data from Xerox.

We're also getting, of course, 2014 issues still. There's a lot of calls that are coming in regarding 2014 issues. Again, those are being handled in coordination with the Division of Insurance.

And we do get a lot of Medicaid referrals. You know, it's what we got for a public comment. We have a lot of callers calling in for APTC drop-offs and things

- 1 like that. So we've been addressing those internally
 2 with our state officer.
- And any other 2015 issues, we're coordinating
- 4 | with the carriers, not just forwarding calls, but warm
- 5 transfers. Actually, the call center staff is calling
- 6 the carriers and trying to address issues with the
- 7 carrier, call center or, you know, consumer assistance.
- 8 So those are -- that's kind of just an
- 9 overview. I can, I can come up with more statistics,
- 10 | specific statistics. But right now, I mean that's just
- 11 | a brief overview of what we've got coming in.
- DR. JAMESON: Yes, specifically, and I was just
- 13 curious as to how many IRS issues we're dealing with,
- 14 the call center.
- MS. RICH: Just off the top of my head,
- 16 Dr. Jameson, I'm uncomfortable giving you a number. I'd
- 17 have to pull that up.
- But we do have -- I would say at least 10 to 15
- 19 percent of our calls are on 1095A issues, either reprint
- 20 requests or correction requests. And those seem to have
- 21 dropped off quite a bit.
- DR. JAMESON: Actually, it's better than I
- 23 thought. Thank you.
- MR. GILBERT: Thank you, Dr. Jameson. Bruce
- 25 Gilbert, for the record, just to expand on a couple of

things that Damon and Laura said.

2.1

2.2

2.5

With respect to the mail, Rosa and Evon have been essentially logging each and every item that come through those mailboxes every single day. If they are checks, those checks are returned either to the consumer or the issuing party, whichever we can identify.

But we keep a complete record of everything that comes through those boxes. If it's correspondence, we respond. We do whatever is necessary. But we literally keep a daily log of those things.

Assistance Center, if they deal with tax issues or 1095A issues, as Laura has indicated, we did, we talk to our state officer, and we try and do what we can with those. If they deal with refunds or other issues which are within the purview of the Division of Insurance, we have set up a process whereby we work in partnership with them to provide them with information on the consumer, so if contacted us with respect to those issues, and we provide the consumers with specific assistance in terms of taking their issue to the Division as well.

So I think that we've done as much as we can, until we get the data itself, to be able to provide the level of support that, frankly, our consumers have not had prior to this.

1 DR. JAMESON: Exactly. MS. JOHNSTONE: Thank you. 2 Any other comments from the Board? 3 That brings us to discussion and All right. 4 possible action regarding future meetings. 5 Any suggestions from the Board members? 6 7 I think, picking up on this recent discussion, either at the July or August meeting, kind of a more 8 in-depth description of what you are tackling with the 9 Consumer Assistance Center and the statistics that 10 you're able to garner would be helpful for the Board and 11 the public. And maybe within that, talk through or 12 13 incorporate what you've been able to work through with the advance payment, or advance premium tax credit 14 documentation issue that we heard during public comment. 15 This is Marie Kerr. MS. KERR: 16 I had to drop off the call from 2:00 to 2:30. 17 You probably had the sustainability discussion during 18 that time. But I suggest that that be on your future 19 agendas, because it'll be a very important question 20 2.1 going forward for this Board. MS. JOHNSTONE: And I missed the first part of 2.2 that, Ms. Kerr. What topic? 23 24 MS. KERR: Sustainability. Yes, definitely. MS. JOHNSTONE: 25

```
MS. KERR: In light of the costs associated
1
 2
   with the Federal Exchange.
             MS. JOHNSTONE: Yes.
 3
             DR. JAMESON: I agree.
 4
             MS. JOHNSTONE: All right. Anything else?
 5
             All right. We will move on to public comment.
 6
             Anyone in Henderson?
 7
             Any public comment in Carson City?
 8
             MR. GILBERT: None in Carson City.
9
10
             MS. JOHNSTONE:
                             Thank you.
             Hearing none, I will go ahead and adjourn the
11
   meeting. Thank you all.
12
13
                               -000-
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```