1	SILVER STATE HEALTH INSURANCE EXCHANGE
2	BOARD MEETING
3	WEDNESDAY, JUNE 28, 2017, 1:30 P.M.
4	
5	-000-
6	
7	DR. JAMESON: Good afternoon everybody.
8	MS. LEWIS: Good afternoon.
9	DR. JAMESON: Welcome.
10	Do we have our Board members dialed in?
11	MS. KORBULIC: Yes, we do, Madam Chair.
12	DR. JAMESON: Well, then we'll go ahead and
13	call to order and see if we have a quorum.
14	MS. KORBULIC: Okay. Dr. Florence Jameson?
15	DR. JAMESON: Here.
16	MS. KORBULIC: Valerie Clark?
17	MS. CLARK: Present.
18	MS. KORBULIC: Lavonne Lewis?
19	MS. LEWIS: Present.
20	MS. KORBULIC: Angie Wilson?
21	MS. WILSON: Here.
22	MS. KORBULIC: Jonathan Johnson?
23	MR. JOHNSON: Present.
24	MS. KORBULIC: Jose Melendrez?
25	MR. MELENDREZ: Present.

MS. KORBULIC: Dr. Dan Cook? 1 DR. COOK: Present. 2 MS. KORBULIC: Marta Jensen? 3 MS. SPROUT: This is Shannon Sprout sitting in 4 for Marta Jensen today. 5 MS. KORBULIC: Thank you. 6 Commissioner Richardson? 7 MR. SHIPPEY: Glenn Shippey on behalf of 8 Commissioner Richardson. 9 MS. KORBULIC: Glenn Shippey is here on behalf 10 of Commissioner Richardson. 11 And Debi Reynolds? 12I'll mark her as absent. 13 14 Madam Chair, we have a quorum. DR. JAMESON: Very good. I'd like to take an 15 opportunity to see if we have any public comment. 16 Go ahead, and let's start up north. Do you 17 have anyone in your room that are in the audience that 18 would like to make public comment today? 19 20 MS. KORBULIC: Not as of right now. 21 DR. JAMESON: Are any of you here today, with us down here, wanting to make a public comment? 2.2 No, they're here, but no comments. 23 So we're going to start with the Division of 24 Insurance presentation by their representative, with 25

1	an
2	MS. KORBULIC: Should I make an announce
3	DR. JAMESON: overview of the Exchange
4	carrier coverage availability and other related matters
5	for our plan year 2018.
6	MS. KORBULIC: And, Madam Chair, before we get
7	started on that, if I may, I wanted to just point out to
8	everybody in attendance that our Board agenda has been
9	amended. We removed the original item number IV and
10	replaced, or reordered the agenda items, that agenda
11	item IV was no longer relative to today's meeting.
12	DR. JAMESON: Very good. Thank you for the
13	update.
14	MS. KORBULIC: And we have Glenn Shippey here
15	from the Division of Insurance to present us with some
16	information about plan year 2018.
17	Go ahead, Glenn. Thank you.
18	MR. SHIPPEY: Thank you, Heather.
19	Chairwoman Jameson, members of the Board, for
20	the record, my name is Glenn Shippey with the Division
21	of Insurance.
22	And I am disappointed to report that Nevadans
23	who reside in 14 of the state's 17 counties will have no
24	plan available next year to choose from on the Exchange.
25	That means anyone who resides outside of Clark,

Nye and Washoe counties are going to have at this point, 1 it looks like, no qualified health plans to purchase 2 next year. 3 Currently, there are three carriers selling 4 plans on the Exchange Carson City area. This consists 5 of the counties of Carson, Douglas, Lyon and Storey. 6 Two of those three carriers -- let me speak up a little 7 bit. 8 MS. KORBULIC: Yeah. 9 MR. SHIPPEY: Two of those three carriers also 10 sell plans in rural counties in Nevada on the Exchange. 11 One of the three carriers, Prominence Health 12First, did not submit an application for 2018 to sell 13 individual plans and will not be participating next year 14 on the Exchange. Prominence currently sells plans in 15 the Las Vegas, Reno and Carson areas and has just under 16 6,000 covered Exchange lives. 17 The second of these carriers is Anthem's PPO 18 carrier, legally known as Rocky Mountain. Rocky 19 20 Mountain also did not submit an application for 2018. 21 Rocky Mountain sells plans currently in all counties outside of Clark and Nye and has a covered lives count 2.2 of just under 7,000 on the Exchange. 23 The third carrier that sells plans in the 24 Carson area is also the Exchange's only statewide 25

> SSHIX BOARD MEETING, 06-28-2017 ***** ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT *****

4

1 carrier. That's Anthem's HMO company, legally known as 2 HMO Colorado. HMO Colorado did submit an application 3 for 2018 to sell on the Exchange. However, HMO Colorado 4 has proposed to make plans available in only three 5 counties, those counties of Clark, Nye and Washoe, which 6 represent areas one and two, service areas as defined by 7 the Exchange.

8 We did receive an application from Health Plan 9 of Nevada to continue to sell Exchange plans in areas 10 one and two. So that's Reno and Las Vegas. Health Plan 11 of Nevada would, has proposed to sell 10 plans in each 12 of those areas.

And let me go back to HMO Colorado. HMO 14 Colorado is proposing to sell a single bronze, silver 15 and gold in service areas one and two.

We did receive applications from two new 16 carriers for 2018, Centene and Aetna. Both of those 17 carriers are proposing to sell plans also in the same 18 three counties: Clark, Nye and Washoe. Centene is 19 20 proposing to sell four plans in those counties, one 21 bronze, two silver and one gold. And Aetna's proposing to sell a single gold and a single silver in those 2.2 counties. 23

Also, no carrier submitted an application to participate and sell health plans on the small employer

Exchange next year. So there will not be an opportunity
for small employers to purchase on the SHOP in 2018.
Currently, Anthem is that only carrier that's selling.

The Division of Insurance, the Exchange, and the Governor's Office have had numerous meetings with our carriers to try to encourage them to expand service areas, so that we have no uncovered county in the state next year. We're going to continue those discussions.

And I want to point out that the Division of 9 Insurance has been in close discussions with carriers 10 for a number of months leading up to this past 11 legislative session. And the carriers expressed pretty 1213 commonly concerns over the lack of certainty with what's the future health care reform is. 14 They asked for more time, first and foremost. They asked our agency and the 15 Exchange if we could give them more time. 16

We are somewhat constrained, because we use the federal platform healthcare.gov. So there are limits to how much time we could give carriers. But we did listen. And the.

And the Commissioner did introduce a bill that the Governor signed into law that did amend several statutes that effectively gives carriers more time. So although carriers submitted applications two weeks ago, they have until the middle of September to make

modifications to those applications. 1 And we strongly encourage our carriers that 2 submitted to reconsider and expand their plan service 3 areas so that Nevadans in all counties will have options 4 on the Exchange. 5 Chairwoman Jameson, that concludes my 6 7 presentation. I'd be happy to answer any questions that you or Board members have at this point. 8 I'd like first to give the DR. JAMESON: 9 opportunity of any of the other Board members, if they 10 have any comments or questions. 11 DR. COOK: Dr. Jameson, this is Dan. 12DR. JAMESON: Please. 13 DR. COOK: Yes, Daniel Cook. 14 Hi. Yes, so you mentioned the uncertain climate and 15 needing more time. Do you have any other analysis or 16 expert opinion about why the carriers aren't interested 17 in participating at this time or why they would withdraw 18 from those counties, that you want to offer, or? 19 20 MR. SHIPPEY: Yeah, Dr. Cook, we at the 21 Division are wondering that ourselves. And, I think, it really is up to the carriers to explain that. 2.2 So we, frankly, don't know. We weren't expecting to see these 23 results when applications came in two weeks ago. 24 We had no advance warning of this outcome. And it was 25

1	discovered the day after applications were submitted.
2	And we had the same set of questions and concerns.
3	DR. JAMESON: Heather, could you give us a
4	little elaboration think, you've been studying this
5	in great detail to help us better understand the
6	question, the response to that question in that the
7	instability of the marketplace, why that makes them
8	nervous at this point to cover the rural area. I think,
9	probably you've shared with myself and others a
10	reasonable explanation. If you could share that now.
11	MS. KORBULIC: Yes, Madam Chair. This is
12	Heather Korbulic, for the record. And I have a bit of a
13	statement here that does address that.
14	So as we just heard today from Glenn and the
15	Division of Insurance, as of today, no Exchange carriers
16	have submitted insurance plans for 14 of Nevada's 17
17	counties. The Exchange absolutely recognizes the
18	gravity and seriousness of this situation.
19	And as Glenn expressed earlier, the Exchange
20	and the Division of Insurance, along with the Department
21	of Health and Human Services and the Governor's Office,
22	are continuing to talk with carriers and to CMS in an
23	effort to develop on-Exchange options for plan year
24	2018.
25	I'd like to echo what Glenn alluded to and say

that it is not too late for our carriers to still work
with us and the Division of Insurance to establish
on-Exchange plans for 2018 or some, some kind of
resource for our consumers.

5 That being said, we are continuing to work 6 collectively to identify other potential solutions and 7 resources for consumers who are going to be impacted in 8 the event that a carrier cannot provide an on-Exchange 9 solution.

Over the past several months, I've been regularly reporting to our Board that federal instability surrounding cost-sharing reductions and the enforcement of individual mandate has created a very volatile market in Nevada and across the country. Today we're seeing the results of this instability here in Nevada.

It's specifically related to cost-sharing 17 reductions. This creates not -- not having certainty 18 for a carrier around the payment of cost-sharing 19 20 reductions creates a very uncertain market. It's part 21 of the way that they determine their rates. And so it can become extremely difficult to create a rate when you 2.2 don't know what kind of funds will be coming to you. 23 Enforcement of the individual mandate is 24 critical to the ongoing enrollment in the Exchange. Ιf 25

1 we do not -- or if the federal government does not 2 enforce the individual mandate, consumers who are 3 healthy are less likely to access insurance, and those 4 who are unhealthy are more likely to access insurance. 5 And that creates an unhealthy risk pool, which drives up 6 premiums and costs for everybody who remains.

7 The lack of affordable care plans for and 8 options for those approximately 8,000 Exchange consumers 9 throughout the 14 impacted counties creates what I'm 10 calling a health care crisis for rural Nevada. I'm 11 deeply concerned about the impact that this is going to 12 have on our fellow Nevadans and the health care systems 13 that support their communities.

The staff of the Exchange is doing everything 14 that we can to identify and secure some of the 15 established resources already available for impacted 16 We're developing a resource page on our 17 consumers. webpage and have been in close contact with Access to 18 Healthcare Network, whose medical discount program may 19 20 provide some very limited assistance to those impacted consumers in rural counties. 21

I'm going to go ahead right now and encourage any consumer that has a qualified health plan in the affected counties, who are expected to enroll again in 25 2018, to contact our customer services center at

1	855-768-5465 to be connected with some available
2	resources.
3	The Exchange is going to be arranging meetings
4	with our contracted navigators, broker association,
5	state lawmakers and federal delegates over the next
6	several days to provide information about what resources
7	might be available to consumers in those impacted areas.
8	I am available to speak with consumers, media,
9	lawmakers, and other related stakeholders. And I
10	encourage anyone who wants to talk with us to reach out
11	to Janel Davis, our Exchange Communications Officer, at
12	775-687-9934.
13	I will be keeping our Board fully apprised of
14	what is a fluid situation.
15	Happy to take any other questions that the
16	Board might have.
17	DR. JAMESON: Thank you so much. Could you
18	repeat that number for patients who want to reenroll.
19	MS. KORBULIC: For consumers, sure. It's
20	855-768-5465.
21	DR. JAMESON: Very good.
22	And I'm sorry. I think, I heard someone trying
23	to come in there. Could you proceed.
24	MS. WILSON: Hi, this is Angie, Angie Wilson
25	here, for the record.

You know, I am so -- I just have to say, you know, I'm just so disappointed. You know, the -- I mean I know it's very disappointing for all of us who are working in this field and, you know, certainly, sitting on the Board, to hear news like this.

6 One of the questions I had was how much time 7 can we continue to, you know, work with these carriers, 8 you know, how much time do we have left before we run 9 out of time? That's one question.

And I do think, you know, a lot of this is -- I 10 mean we all know this is happening specifically because 11 of the uncertainty about, you know, what's going to 1213 happen, who will enroll, who's going to be able to maintain coverage under both, either the American 14 Healthcare Act or the Better Care Reconciliation Act 15 (BCRA) that just came out, you know. It's already 16 causing disruption in our individual market. 17

I am concerned because of the amount of money 18 Nevadans are receiving is what I thought, what I see, 19 20 35 million in cost-sharing reductions and which assist 21 them in the coverage. I'm really hoping that -- and I don't know if any of our carriers who discussed. 2.2 You know, you could have been having meetings with the 23 Division of Insurance. You know, I'm sure hoping that 24 this information is getting carried all the way up to 25

our Senators, you know, our representatives, you know, 1 about the significant impact that this is having. 2 And I do know, Heather, in talking with you, 3 that you're trying, and I've seen the documents that you 4 send out. But I am just, I'm very, very worried when 5 we're talking about the majority of the state, you know, 6 7 that are in populations that, you know, don't have adequate access if you don't live in Reno or Las Vegas. 8 I mean we're talking about a ton of people here. 9 I'm just really -- I mean I'm not sure. How much more time 10 do we have? What options, you know, can we extend? 11 I'm just curious. 12MS. KORBULIC: Thank you, Angie. This is 13 Heather Korbulic, for the record. 14 And I will just agree with you about your deep concern and let you know that 15 we have been in regular contact with our delegates at a 16 federal, and will be soon at the state level. 17 I will let Glenn Shippey answer questions about 18 the timeline. 19 20 MR. SHIPPEY: Sure. This is Glenn Shippey again. 21 And we -- the revisions that were made to 2.2 Nevada statutes to effectively allow carriers to make 23 revisions to their applications, we defined a date 24 certain of up through September 20th. 25

> SSHIX BOARD MEETING, 06-28-2017 ***** ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT *****

13

1	And we encourage I'll repeat again something
2	I said earlier. We strongly encourage carriers to
3	expand their plan offerings into other counties in the
4	state, because they do have the flexibility over the
5	next three months to monitor and evaluate what does
6	happen over the summer and make decisions accordingly.
7	So we're still trying to be optimistic that
8	we'll find solutions that involve our Exchange carriers
9	to create some options for consumers.
10	DR. JAMESON: Thank you so much.
11	Valerie, did you have
12	MS. LEWIS: This is Lavonne Lewis. And
13	DR. JAMESON: Oh, Lavonne, go ahead.
14	MS. LEWIS: Yes. Well, you know, I appreciate
15	the information that we have received, and I appreciate
16	Heather for keeping us informed as to what seems to be
17	going on in this arena.
18	And I would certainly encourage the
19	continuation of the conversations with the politicians
20	who are responsible for making these kinds of decisions.
21	And then my personal feeling is that, of
22	course, that this is an attempt to just to continue to
23	be able to say that the Affordable Care Act is failing
24	and, of course, to pressure people to then vote for what
25	I perceive to be a diabolical bill that would provide

health care to very few and provide an awful lot of 1 money for the very rich. 2 So I encourage, you know, all of us to continue 3 our discussions with the politicians who are responsible 4 for really -- because, I think, that they are 5 responsible for this dilemma that we find ourselves in. 6 7 I'm tying to use words that are not expressing my real feelings. 8 So I just encourage us to continue to try to 9 bring about some movement to be able to offer, you know, 10 healthcare options to all Nevadans, rather than just 11 those that are in the more, you know, populated areas. 1213 Thank you. This is Angie. And I absolutely 14 MS. WILSON: 100 percent concur with Lavonne on every single level. 15 I'm also -- you know, of course, you guys have 16 heard me say before, my, you know, discontent for 17 gutting Medicaid and the major impact that will also 18 have, under the both of these bills, I absolutely think 19 20 it's appalling, and it will hit our Nevadans in a very 21 serious way. MS. LEWIS: And this is Lavonne. You know, I 2.2 think that I just can't imagine -- this is my personal 23 feeling again. I just can't imagine how people, you 24 know, human beings, can come to the decisions that are 25

being proposed, that will destroy, really, people. 1 Ι can't imagine what, where you are to have to come to 2 those kinds of decisions. 3 MS. CLARK: Hey, Heather, it's Valerie. 4 Or, Madam Chair, I'm sorry, it's Valerie Clark. Could I 5 just make a comment or a statement? 6 7 DR. JAMESON: Oh, yes, Valerie. Yes, please do. 8 MS. CLARK: I'm wondering if it would be 9 helpful for this Board to invite the decision-makers, 10 whoever they are, the CEOs, or what have you, of these 11 carriers that we are doing business with, to meet with 1213 us and talk with us about their issues, and give us a better understanding of what is going on, from their 14 15 perspective. I'm going to ask our Executive 16 DR. JAMESON: Director, Heather, to address that. 17 MS. KORBULIC: Madam Chair, this is Heather 18 Korbulic. And I'd be happy to try and arrange a meeting 19 20 like that for our Board, to have the CEOs and decision-makers of carriers come and talk to the Board 21 about their concerns. 2.2 MS. CLARK: Because, I think, it's very 23 important right now that we -- I completely concur with 24 all of your feelings and understand. I -- doing what I 25

1	do for a living, this has not come as a surprise to me.
2	It's been boiling for and brewing and simmering for
3	quite some time. And I hear it from all sides. I hear
4	it from the consumer side, and I hear it from the
5	carrier side. I hear it from the provider side.
6	And the issues that we're really dealing with,
7	I think, could be I think, we could be a very
8	objective Board if we could understand the dilemma that
9	these carriers are facing or what is causing them to
10	make the decisions that they're making. I think, it
11	would be very helpful to get them in front of us.
12	DR. JAMESON: Thank you so much, Valerie.
13	And along those lines, I just want to of
14	course, I agree with the lots of words that have been
15	spoken. But I remain ever optimistic that with the
16	fortunate bill the Governor signed and the extra time
17	our carriers have, that they may we can (indistinct)
18	or, I believe, one carrier hasn't made a firm decision,
19	may decide to move forward. I heard Heather say one
20	day.
21	Hopefully, that they all or one of them could
22	come out with they would truly be such heroes, even
23	if ideally, I know, if they're going to lose money,
24	they're not going to do it. But ideally, if they could
25	perhaps break even and take this as a loss leader, as

1	they say, and be a hero for our Nevadans, that would be
2	amazing. And Heather and I pray that they will do that.
3	And what you're saying, Valerie, is so to the
4	point, which is, you know, how did the truck get in the
5	ditch, we do know, but how do we get it out, if we
6	understand the carriers better.
7	And our Executive Director has really shared
8	with us that the instability is primarily due to two
9	issues, the cost-sharing reduction and the enforcement
10	of the individual mandate.
11	And what I'm just so waiting to ask our
12	Insurance Commissioner representative is to this very
13	question. Because this is what it hinges on. Is it, if
14	there was no cost-sharing reduction, if there was no
15	enforcement of the individual mandate, is it as gloom
16	and doom as the carriers say, or could they service and
17	still be viable, although their profits would be
18	diminished significantly? Do you have any thoughts on
19	that?
20	MS. CLARK: Well, Madam Chair, Valerie Clark,
21	for the record. I sit in these types of meetings very,
22	very routinely with all of the carriers. And it's
23	not I'm right smack in the middle of it, because I
24	hear what they're saying. Yes, I am also a consumer. I
25	also represent consumers. I also happen to have a

public health nursing background. And I very much 1 understand the needs. 2 And I think that I -- that's why I believe the 3 only way for us to get a real handle on this is to sit 4 with them face-to-face and try and get them to 5 understand where we're coming from and get us -- you 6 7 know, and help us understand where they're coming from. DR. JAMESON: Valerie, I absolutely agree. And 8 I did hear you. And, I think, Heather's going to set up 9 that meeting. 10 MS. CLARK: Yes. 11 DR. JAMESON: So my question in the meantime, 12 13 while we have a representative of our Insurance Commissioner here who might understand the question I 14 posed, I was just asking his thoughts on that. 15 MS. CLARK: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. 16 COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: So this is Barbara 17 Richardson. I'm the Division of Insurance Commissioner. 18 And I'm sorry for being late. I'm glad that Glenn was 19 20 able to give you the information. Unfortunately, it is 21 sad and disappointing information. However, the question that you posed is all 2.2 about the cost-sharing and the mandate itself. It's 23 not -- I wouldn't suggest that it's, that's something 24 the carriers can't do. But it may be so cost 25

prohibitive that the consumers couldn't afford it. At
least the way that's the way it's being posed to us.

And the question is, is how much is too much 3 cost for our consumers? Is that better or worse than 4 not having any insurance at all? And, I think, you're 5 going to hear some of that from the carriers themselves, 6 7 is trying to figure out how to service a very small risk pool without any backstop, to make sure that the costs 8 don't get prohibitive. It's less to do with making 9 money and more to do with trying to figure out whether 10 or not they could offer something that anybody could 11 purchase. 12

DR. JAMESON: Did they actually come up with any projections for the cost of the different plans? And did you have an opportunity to review indeed that they were that out of the ballpark?

17 COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: As of this time, they 18 did not submit any information on rates for plans that 19 they were not planning on offering. So as Mr. Shippey 20 provided, the plans that they are offering are the only 21 ones that they have told us about.

This is just part of the discussion that they have had with us. So that's something you should expect to hear at your Exchange meeting.

DR. JAMESON: Thank you so much.

25

1 And can everybody here? Yes. So, I think, the suggestion, I know that 2 Heather and the Insurance Commissioner's office and the 3 Governor have been working with these carriers 4 face-to-face with their staff to fully vet everything 5 out and fully understand. But what you just shared with 6 7 me certainly helps me explain. But I, as Valerie, would be curious and 8 certainly would look forward to a face-to-face encounter 9 with our carrier representatives, to just have a better 10 understanding of the details of why they feel it is not 11 possible, and see if there's any way it could be 1213 possible. And I thank you, Heather, for looking into 14 this. 15 MS. KORBULIC: Thank you, Madam Chair. 16 I'11 work on arranging that for our next Board meeting. 17 Are there any other comments and 18 DR. JAMESON: questions? If not, since item IV --19 20 MR. MELENDREZ: This is Jose. Can you hear me? 21 DR. JAMESON: Yes, Jose, very well. Please proceed. 2.2 MR. MELENDREZ: So my question was just, I mean 23 this is obviously very, very disturbing news. 24 Is there anything that we, Madam Chair and Heather, if somebody 25

can, is there anything that we as a board in this 1 position, is there anything that we can do in terms 2 of -- and I put on my social work hat now. Is there 3 anything that we can do in terms of advocacy, public 4 statements, letters, you know, to the right folks, 5 things like that, that we are able to do or within our 6 7 means to do as Board members for the Exchange? MS. KORBULIC: Madam Chair, this is Heather 8 Korbulic, for the record. 9 I would just respond to your question, 10 Mr. Melendrez, by saying that I will be, of course, 11 continuing to look into avenues and options and will 1213 keep the Board apprised of anything that I think might be useful and productive. 14 MR. MELENDREZ: Thank you, Heather. 15 Ι 16 appreciate that. DR. JAMESON: Thank you. 17 And since we are now -- item IV is no longer on 18 the agenda, we will move to item V, public comments. 19 20 Do we have any public comments in the north? MS. KORBULIC: No, we do not. 21 DR. JAMESON: Do we have any public comments 2.2 here? 23 None. So that being the case, I would say we 24 could adjourn. 25

Madam Chair, can we address item 1 MS. KORBULIC: number IV, which is the possible action regarding dates, 2 times, and agenda for future meetings? 3 DR. JAMESON: Oh, I apologize. I thought it 4 was item IV you said we weren't going to do. 5 MS. KORBULIC: We rearranged the agenda. Thank 6 7 you. Sorry. DR. JAMESON: Oh, my apologies. 8 Okay. So, And I know one of the thoughts we had shared, in 9 yes. discussion at future Board meetings, was we felt 10 comfortable at this point that we could go to quarterly 11 meetings or every three months. And I would like to 1213 hear any comments from the Board at this time. Is anyone there, or did you --14 MR. MELENDREZ: Yeah, this is Jose. 15 I would support a quarterly meeting face-to-face. But, of 16 course, if any special items, like this one, comes up, 17 that we'd be able to call for a special meeting, 18 whatever that process is to get people together or via 19 20 phone. But I would support a quarterly meeting. 21 DR. JAMESON: Very good. Any other comments? MS. LEWIS: This is Lavonne Lewis. And I would 2.2 certainly support a quarterly meeting, also. Except, I 23 think, right now, in these kind of tenuous times that we 24 seem to be in, we may need to certainly have some phone 25

meetings, just as mentioned, you know, so that we can 1 stay abreast of what our circumstances are going 2 forward. 3 Madam Chair, this is Valerie Clark. MS. CLARK: 4 DR. JAMESON: Valerie, please comment. 5 MS. CLARK: I would support quarterly meetings 6 7 starting January of 2018. MS. LEWIS: I think, that's a good idea. 8 This is Angie, and I concur. MS. WILSON: 9 MS. LEWIS: And this is Lavonne, and I do, too. 10 DR. JAMESON: Very good. Executive Director 11 Heather, do we actually need to actually make any kind 1213 of motion on that, because usually it's a discussion, and we set the next meeting and go from there? 14 MS. KORBULIC: Madam Chair, it's my 15 understanding that that's up to the discretion of the 16 Chair, as long as we meet public meeting requirements 17 and that we meet one time per year as per the NRS. 18 DR. JAMESON: Would we need to actually vote as 19 20 a board to go to quarterly meetings as of January 2018? 21 MS. KORBULIC: No, we would not. It's up to your discretion, though. 2.2 DR. JAMESON: Okay. Yeah, I don't think we 23 need to. I think, that's adequate discussion. 24 So I think that our next scheduled Board 25

meeting, then, would be? 1 MS. LEWIS: Next week? 2 DR. JAMESON: Rosa's looking. 3 In two weeks, wouldn't it? MS. LEWIS: 4 MS. KORBULIC: It would be July 13th. 5 MS. LEWIS: Oh. 6 7 DR. JAMESON: That would be July 13th. And as far as I know, I don't know that we're going to have any 8 significant new business at that point. And I would 9 suggest that we -- our next meeting be in August. 10 And if there's something very urgent or really important 11 that comes up, we can always call for another meeting. 1213 Heather, do you have any desire to meet in 14 July? MS. KORBULIC: I think, it would be appropriate 15 to meet in August, and I can work on getting the 16 decision-makers at our carriers to be present for that 17 meeting and to provide us with some oversight or insight 18 into their decisions. 19 20 DR. JAMESON: Excellent. And, I think, 21 everyone, we hope, will know a little bit more about new 2.2 We will know more, hopefully, about the stability AHCA. in the marketplace, about the main concerns of the 23 cost-sharing reduction and individual mandate. 24 I think, 25 we kind of know where that's going. But we will

certainly know a lot more by August. Even though I know 1 they wanted to make a lot of decisions very early on, 2 I'm not sure that that's going to happen. So I think 3 that the August sounds good. 4 How does everybody else feel? 5 So not hearing any opposition, we'll schedule 6 our next meeting in August, pending any urgent business. 7 MR. JOHNSON: Madam Chair, this is Jonathan 8 Johnson. Question, and maybe it's something that we can 9 talk about in the August meeting. But this particular 10 situation, not having a qualified health plan in certain 11 areas, one of the questions that I'd like to discuss 1213 next time we get together is, has this happened in other states, and what, what they've done in response to that, 14 and what those options are for those consumers that are 15 left without a QHP on the Exchange? 16 DR. JAMESON: Thank you so much. And I think 17 that I would like to ask. I think that Heather has a 18 very excellent response for that. Because, yes, it has 19 20 happened in many other states. And, I think, she could do her wonderful data collection that she does and 21 present it to us at the next August meeting and, also, 2.2 tell you the consequences of that having happened in the 23 Which, of course, many of us, sadly, have 24 other states. And, in fact, during this last year or two, was 25 seen.

kind of waiting for the shoe to drop. And I expected 1 that we might have the what we're seeing right now 2 happen one or two years ago. And I felt so blessed that 3 it did not occur until now. But it's still not a done 4 deal. 5 But, yes. Our Executive Director, Heather, 6 7 would you mind preparing a report on it? Last I saw, it was quite extensive how many no longer had carriers 8 offering to the rural areas or were down to one carrier. 9 MS. KORBULIC: Madam Chair, I'd be happy to 10 provide you with that information. 11 DR. JAMESON: And how those states are dealing 1213 with that situation. 14 MS. KORBULIC: Absolutely. DR. JAMESON: Thank you so much. 15 So any other discussion on future times or 16 topics? 17 Hearing none, do I hear a call for adjournment? 18 MS. LEWIS: Yes. I move that we adjourn. 19 20 DR. JAMESON: All right. The meeting is 21 adjourned. And I thank everybody for your 2.2 participation. (Participants said thank you.) 23 24 -000-25